

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,347	01/19/2001	Raghavan Rajagopalan	MRD-60	7678
75	90 02/03/2003			
David E. Jefferies			EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P. 2700 Carew Tower			CEPERLEY, MARY	
441 Vine Street				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cincinnati, OH 45202-2917			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003	
				` \

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
2 ··	09/766,347	RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 4 M	ONTH(S) EDOM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		·				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
		he Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	· ·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/766,347

Art Unit: 1641

1) Claim 11 appears to be missing words. There is no antecedent basis in step (b) for "said target tissues" and there is no indication in the claim of the recipient of the "phototherapeutic procedure".

- 2) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to azide-substituted receptor-compound conjugates, classified based on the structure of the "organic azide compound", for example, in classes 530 and 536.
- II. Claim 11 (part), drawn to a method of performing a therapeutic procedure using an organic azide compound (E = H), classified in class 514 based on the structure of the final product, for example, in different subclasses for each of "pyrroles", "quinolines", and "xanthones".
- III. Claims 11 (part) and 12-27, drawn to a method of performing a therapeutic procedure using azide conjugates of receptor binding molecules, classified in class 514; subclasses based on the structure of the "organic azide photosensitizer" including the "receptor binding molecule".
- 3) The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- a) Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the dye-"receptor binding molecule" conjugate of Invention I could be used as a reagent in an immunoassay to determine the presence of the corresponding "receptor" moiety in a biological sample.
- **b)** Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation,

Application/Control Number: 09/766,347

Art Unit: 1641

different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the phototherapy method of II does not use any of the "organic azide compounds" defined in claim 1, i.e., "E" is not defined as H in claim 1. Similarly, the methods of Inventions II and III are unrelated since the methods use chemically different "organic azide photosensitizers" (i.e. the definitions of "E" are different).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter requiring different fields of search and different patentability considerations, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4) Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- **5)** Each "organic azide photosensitizer" as defined in claims 1 and 11 constitutes **a separate and distinct invention** since the various dye types ("Ar") and "receptor binding molecules" ("E") are

 structurally and functionally different in nature. In addition to electing any one of Inventions I-III above,
 applicants must further **elect a specific species** of "organic azide photosensitizer" as set forth in claim
 1, i.e. applicants must elect a specific chemical definition for each of "E" and "Ar" to define the elected
 "organic azide photosensitizer" invention and must indicate **a)** at what positions on the structure "Ar" the
 groups "L" and "X" are attached and **b)** at what position on the structure "E" the group "L" is attached.
- 6) Applicants are advised that the claims will be subject to rejection under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs, with regard to a) the definitions of "Ar" and b) the definition of "E" as hydrogen (claim 11).
 - a) The only definitions of the term "Ar" appear at page 7, line 2 et seq and page 11, line 8 et seq of the specification. The terms "benzenes", "purines", "carbazoles", etc. do not

Application/Control Number: 09/766,347

Art Unit: 1641

adequately specify the nature and number of the other substituents and/or multiple rings which

are implied by the plural designations. Although the claims and specification define the nature

and function of the variables "E", "L", and "X", the nature and functional requirements of "Ar" are

unspecified.

b) It would appear that the phototherapeutic method of claim 11, wherein E = H would

not be operative, since there would be no moiety on the photosensitizer which would bind to a

site requiring phototherapy.

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4239. The

examiner can normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Long Le, can be reached at (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for responses to be filed BEFORE

final rejection is (703) 872-9306. The fax phone number for responses to be filed AFTER final rejection is

(703) 872-9307.

Questions which are NOT RELATED TO THE EXAMINATION ON THE MERITS, should be directed

to TC 1600 CUSTOMER SERVICE at (703) 308-0198. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to

the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0196.

February 1, 2003

Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley

Mary E. Goerley

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1641

Page 4